Clinical Significance of manuka honey and medical grade honey for

antibiotic-resistant infections: A Systematic Review

Aston University BIRMINGHAM UK

Victoria C Nolan¹, James Harrison¹, John E Wright² and Jonathan A G Cox^{1*}



¹ College of Health and Life Sciences Aston University, ²Great Western Hospital NHS Foundation Trust *<u>j.a.g.cox@aston.ac.uk</u>

Introduction

- The unique antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory properties of honey have been established for millennia (Cremers et al., 2020).
- Honey exhibits a broad spectrum of activity, inhibiting a variety of microorganisms .
- Main factors contributing to the antimicrobial activity of honey are methylglyoxal, hydrogen peroxide and bee defensin-1 (Nolan et al., 2019).
- Antimicrobial resistance and multi-drug resistant microorganisms have become one of the largest threats to face modern medicine.
- Some of the clinically significant MDR pathogens include Staphylococcus aureus, methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA), Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Escherichia coli, extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing (ESBL) E. coli and vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) (World Health Organisation, 2017).
- New and novel strategies are required to combat these infections.
- This systematic review explores the efficacy of Manuka honey and medical-grade honeys against a variety of drug-susceptible and drug-resistant bacterial pathogens, to determine if honey should be further explored, utilised and implemented as a treatment.

<u>Methods</u>

- To search the literature, PubMed, ScienceDirect, Google Scholar and Web of Science databases were used and searched by two independent researchers.
- Keyword search terms selected were: "Manuka honey", "medical grade honey", "Medihoney", "multidrug resistant", "multidrug resistance" and "multidrug resistant organism".
- 3081 publications were identified and 32 articles selected based on title, abstract and type of articles were removed due to not reporting antimicrobial activity of manuka or medical

grade honey. 20 articles were therefore included in the systematic review.

- Two main methods were used in the majority of the papers, broth dilution assay. These were used to determine minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) as a percentage.
- Manuka honey was defined as a honey originating from Leptospermum spp. and did not state any medical-grade classification. Medical-grade honey was defined as any honey stating a medical grade or medical use

Results

- *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa* were two of the most tested microorganisms and therefore had a very good representation for honey susceptibility. Both type strains and multidrug resistant isolates were tested against various manuka and medical grade honeys.
- For all *S. aureus* isolates tested, the MIC was 20% or lower. Comvita Manuka 5+ was more effective than Comvita Manuka 10+, 15+ and 25+, regardless of methicillin resistance and the lowest MIC observed was for a non-graded manuka honey (Figure A). Medical grade honeys exhibited a wider range of efficacy, with surgihoney being the most effective and Revamil the least effective (Figure B). *P. aeruginosa* exhibited a range of MIC to manuka honey. Comvita Manuka 5+ had the lowest MIC for all the Manuka honeys tested, with the lowest MIC for a multidrug resistant clinical isolate (Figure C). The most effective medical grade honey was Surgihoney followed by Comvita Manuka Woundcare 18+, the least effective was medical grade honey (Figure D).
- A variety of multidrug resistance bacteria were inhibited by both manuka and medical grade honey, with MICs ranging from 5% to 33% (Table 1).

Organism	Antibiotic Resistance	Honey and MIC		Activon Medical Grade Honey	Medical Grade Honey	S aure S aure MRS
MRSA (Glasser <i>et al.,</i> 2010)	Clindamycin, erythromycin, levofloxacin and moxifloxacin	Medical-grade honey, 5%	Concentrationer	Internet		MR
MRSA (Glasser <i>et al.,</i> 2010)	Erythromycin, levofloxacin and moxifloxacin	Medical-grade honey, 20%	-01 Julion			
MRSA (Glasser <i>et al.,</i> 2010)	Gentamycin, levofloxacin, tetracycline and trimethoprim	Medical-grade honey, 5%	Winimini Min			
MRSA (Glasser <i>et al.,</i> 2010)	Erythromycin	Medical-grade honey, 15%	5538 0 2231 0 2015 0 M52 0	WRSA Clinical Isolates		
P. aeruginosa (Glasser <i>et al.,</i> 2010)	Amikacin, gentamycin, tobramycin, ampicillin/sulbactam, cefepime, ceftazidime, pipericillin/tazobactam, levofloxacin, ciprofloxacin, imipenem and meropenem	Medical-grade honey, 10%	92- MSSA ATCC 6 MSSA ATCC 6 MSSA ATCC 29 MSSA FMV 77/2 MRSA SI MRSA SI MRSA SI	MRSA Clinical Isc S. aureus ATCC 43 S. aureus NCTC 10 MRSA Clinical Isc Epidemic MF S. aureus ATCC 700 S. aureus ATCC 700 S. aureus ATCC 700 MRSA Clinical Isc		
P. aeruginosa (Glasser <i>et al.,</i> 2010)	Ampicillin/sulbactam	Medical-grade honey, 15%	30- Comvita Mar Comvita Mar Comvita Mar	nuka 5+ Manuka UMF10+ Kordel Ma nuka 10+ Manuka honey Co nuka 15+	nuka honey mvita Manuka honey 25+	
ABC complex (Glasser <i>et al.,</i> 2010)	Amikacin, gentamycin, tobramycin, ampicillin/sulbactam, cefepime, ceftazidime, pipericillin/tazobactam, levofloxacin, ciprofloxacin and meropenem	Medical-grade honey, 10%	25- Conco 20- 15- 15-			
ABC complex (Glasser <i>et al.,</i> 2010)	Pipericillin/tazobactam	Medical-grade honey, 20%	mn 10−			
Multidrug-resistant <i>P. aeruginosa</i> (Grima <i>et al.,</i> 2019)	Not Specified	Comvita Manuka 5+, <9% Comvita Manuka 10+, 15% Comvita Manuka 15+, 21%	5 0 MDR <i>P. aeruginosa</i> Clinical Isola	ntes <i>P. aeruginosa</i> Clinical Isolates		853 853 853
P. aeruginosa (Grima <i>et al.,</i> 2019)	No resistance	Comvita Manuka 5+, 27% Comvita Manuka 10+, 27% Comvita Manuka 15+, 33%		Medical Grade Honey 1 Medical Grade Honey 2	Medihoney	inosa ATCC 27 inosa ATCC 27 inosa ATCC 27
E. coli (Lin <i>et al.,</i> 2011)	Amoxycillin, Amoxy/Clavulanate, Cefaclor, Trimethoprim, Ceftriaxone, Cefuroxime, Ceprofloxacin, Cotrimoxazole and Gentamicin	Manuka honey UMF16+, 5.08%	Inhibitory Concentration %	Comvita Manuka Woundcar Revamil Activon Medical Grade Hone Activon Medical Grade Hone	 Surgihoney 1 Surgihoney 2 Surgihoney 3 Surgihoney 3 Medical Grade Ho 	ney
<i>E. coli</i> ATCC 25923 (Lin <i>et al.,</i> 2011)	Not Specified	Manuka honey UMF16+, 6.87%	Minimum 10-			
E. cloacae	Amoxycillin, Amoxy/Clavulanate, Cefaclor	Manuka honey UMF16+, 5.88%				



Read the paper

(______

(Lin et al., 2011)

E. cloacae

Manuka honey UMF16+, 10.65%

ATCC 27853 ATCC 15442 FMV114/2014 FMV26/2016 FMV26/2016 FMV27/2016 FMV49/2016 FMV49/2017 FMV42/2017 FMV42/2017 ATCC 27853 ATCC 27853 ATCC 27853 ATCC 27853 ATCC 2027

P. aeruginosa Clinical Isolates

Discussion

Both manuka honey and medical grade honeys exhibited a wide range of efficacy against both
 Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria.

Not Specified

- Ungraded or lower graded manuka honeys were often more effective than higher grades.
- Surgihoney 3 was the most effective of all medical grade honeys, having the lowest MICs overall.
- Multidrug resistance strains often had lower MICs when compared with non-resistant counterparts, regardless of which honey was being tested.

Conclusions

- Manuka honey and medical grade honey are both effective at inhibiting a variety of microorganisms.
- Drug-resistant status of the organisms tested did not appear to impact the efficacy of the honey
- Honey therapy should be implemented, where possible, regardless of antibiotic resistance.

References: Nolan, V.C.; Harrison, J.; Cox, J.A.G. Dissecting the antimicrobial composition of honey. *Antibiotics* 2019, *8*, 251. Girma, A.; Seo, W.; She, R.C. Antibacterial activity of varying UMF-graded Manuka honeys. *PLoS ONE* 2019, *14*. Tan, H.T.; Rahman, R.A.; Gan, S.H.; Halim, A.S.; Hagsan, S.A.; Sluajman, S.A.; Sluajman, S.A.; Singh, K.-K.B. The antibacterial properties of Malaysian tualang honey against wound and enteric microorganisms in comparison to manuka honey. *BMC Complement. Altern. Med.* 2009, *9*, 34. Willik, D.J.; Molan, P.C.; Harfoot, C.G. A comparison of the sensitivity of wound-infecting species of bacteria to the antibacterial activity of manuka honey, *s.H.* (2011, *30*, 167–171. Sherlock, O.; Dolan, A.; Athman, R.; Power, A.; Gethin, G.; Cowen, S.; Humphreys, H.: Omparison of the antibacterial activity of *Nanuka* honey against methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *BMC Complement. Altern. Med.* 2010, *10*, 47. Lin, S.M.; Molan, P.C.; Cursons, R.T. The controlled in vitro susceptibility of gastrointestinal pathogens to the antibacterial effect of manuka honey. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 2011, *30*, 569–574. Cremers, N.; Belas, A.; Santos Costa, S.; Couto, I.; de Rooster, H.; Pomba, C. In vitro antimicrobial efficacy of two medical grade honey against common high-risk meticillin-resistant staphylococci and Pseudomonas sep. pathogens. *Vet. Dermatol.* 2020, *31*, 90–96. Kwakman, P.H.S.; Van den Akker, J.P.C.; Güçü, A.; Aslami, H.; Binnekade, J.M.; de Boer, I.; Bosthard, I.; Paulus, F.; Middelhoek, P.; te Velde, A.A.; et al. Medical-Grade Honey against multidrug-resistant staphylococci and Pseudomonas sep. pathogens. *Vet. Dermatol.* 2020, *31*, 90–96. Kwakman, P.H.S.; Van den Akker, J.P.C.; Güçü, A.; Aslami, H.; Binnekade, J.M.; de Stariston et al.; Brotiston et